

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,524	10/12/2000	William E. Bernier	END-00-0034US1	1044
75	90 11/20/2002			
Pollock Vande Sande & Amernick RLLP			EXAMINER	
P O Box 19088 Washington, DC 20036-3425			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	50				
Applicant(s)					
BERNIER ET AL.					
Art Unit					
1725					
rrespondence add	ress				
PITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ion. A proper reply to a places the application in filed Request for Continued					
n the final rejection, wh date of the final rejecti E FINAL REJECTION.	on.				
1.136(a) and the appr nt of the fee. The appr riginally set in the final ng date of the final reje	ropriate extension Office action; or				
iod set forth in the appeal.					
ee NOTE below);	·				
ally reducing or si	mplifying the				
ally rejected claim	s.				
parate, timely filed	amendment				
ered but does NO	T place the				
issues which wer	e newly				
will be entered a wor appended.	and an				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/687,524	BERNIER ET AL.
Advisory Addidit	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan Johnson	1725

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the a

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensifiee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensifiee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 09/687,524

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment requires a new search as it further narrows the claim by requiring a gas-phase ambient comprising a complexing agent..

d

TOM DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700